## # Partial F-tests and Lack-of-Fit Tests

## # PARTIAL F-TEST.

# Consider full and reduced models

# The p-value comparing these two models is very significant, so the two variables make a significant contribution for the prediction of mpg, in addition of weight and horsepower.

## # LACK-OF-FIT.

# Here we test linearity by comparing the linear model (reduced) with the model with dummy variables, one for each value of X (full model that does not assume linearity).

# Low p-value shows that the relation of mpg to the number of cylinders is non-linear.

## # Continuous case – what to do if the X-variable has no repeated values?

```
> round (horsepower/10) *10
 [1] 130 160 150 150 140 200 220 220 290 190 170 160 150 220 100 100 100
80
[19] 90 50 90 90 100 110 90 220 200 210 190 90 90 100 100 100
    < truncated >
> reg reduced = lm(mpg ~ horsepower)
> hp rounded = round(horsepower/10)*10
> reg full = lm( mpg ~ as.factor(hp rounded) )
> anova( reg full, reg reduced )
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: mpg ~ as.factor(hp rounded)
Model 2: mpg ~ horsepower
 Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)
   373 7101.9
    390 9385.9 -17 -2284 7.0565 6.662e-15 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

# The full model is significantly better. So, mpg is a non-linear function of horsepower.